

To:

## Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

# Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

**From:** Jennifer Griffith  
**Sent:** Mon 10/2/2017 7:16:55 PM  
**Subject:** PFAS: States/EPA October 12th WEBINAR - need to register!

To: NEWMOA PFAS Working Group

Our October States/EPA call will be a WEBINAR (that you need to register for in advance) – Thursday October 12<sup>th</sup> from 10:30 to 12:00 (EST). **Please register for PFAS: Monthly States/EPA Call - Webinar with EPA ORD at:**

<https://attendee.gotowebinar.com/register/6586398291494347010> - after registering, you will receive a confirmation email containing information about joining the webinar.

On the webinar, EPA's Office of Research and Development will present on their PFAS

activities including research and available resources. If there is time – we will also discuss the questions CT and ME posed (see prior e-mail below) and anything else someone wants to propose.

Also – FYI:

**A good summary on PFAS regulations/litigation:**

<http://www.martenlaw.com/newsletter/20170925-emerging-water-contaminants-update> (note: there is one typo - NJ's PFOA standard is 14 ppt, not ppb)

Talk to you next week!

Jennifer Griffith, P.E.

Project Manager

Northeast Waste Management Officials' Association (NEWMOA)

89 South Street, Suite 600, Boston, MA 02111

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**



*Leading the Northeast to a sustainable, waste-free future*



**From:** Jennifer Griffith

**Sent:** Tuesday, September 26, 2017 9:18 AM

**Subject:** PFAS - Questions from CT and ME - and a recent webinar on analytical methods

To: NEWMOA PFAS Working Group

CT and ME both have questions – please send relevant information regarding:

1. Can states share what exact QA/QC measures they have taken when sampling? As in frequency of trip blanks, field blanks, duplicates, blind duplicates, etc?
2. Does anyone have experience with using PlumeStop liquid carbon from Regenesis on PFAS contaminated groundwater? PlumeStop will bind the PFAS, but not degrade it. Wondering about any practical treatment experience and **policy considerations with leaving PFAS bound in the aquifer materials.**

Also - NEBRA (Northeast Biosolids and Residuals Association) recently held a webinar on analytical issues with PFAS and the slides are at:

<https://static1.squarespace.com/static/54806478e4b0dc44e1698e88/t/59baa9f7e5dd5ba4b0f0a2d2/15054054658/WEBINAR-AllSlides-14Sept2017v2.pdf> - the webinar was reportedly well done and valuable

The take-home messages (a la ME DEP) were that:

- the analytical science is rapidly evolving,
- EPA method 537 is for drinking water only and there is not standard “modified method 537” for wastewater or solids
- EPA is leaning towards using ASTM method D7979 for wastewater, coupled with and ASTM method D7968 for extraction from solids
- there may not be great reproducibility between labs,
- Make sure you know what your lab is doing and the shortcomings/advantages of their approach
- Holding times can be an issue, sample containers play into this, and the webinar has BMPs
- Stay tuned on this issue

Jennifer Griffith, P.E.

Project Manager

Northeast Waste Management Officials’ Association (NEWMOA)

89 South Street, Suite 600, Boston, MA 02111

## **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

*Leading the Northeast to a sustainable, waste-free future*

